### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ARTISAN PICTURES, INC., a Delaware corporation; LIONS GATE FILMS, INC., a Delaware corporation; WARNER BROS. ENTERTAINMENT INC., a Delaware corporation; PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC., a Delaware corporation; COLUMBIA PICTURES INDUSTRIES, INC., a Delaware corporation; UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS PRODUCTIONS LLLP, a Delaware limited liability limited partnership; SCREEN GEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation; TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; NEW LINE CINEMA CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; and SONY PICTURES HOME ENTERTAINMENT INC., a Delaware corporation,

-----x

and the second	USDC SDNY
	DOCUMENT
	ELECTRONICALLY FILED
	DOC#:
	DATE FILED: 7/5/05

Plaintiffs,

05 Civ. 5243 (SAS) (DFE)

This is an ECF case

- against -

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

DOES 1-74,

Defendants.

DOUGLAS F. EATON, United States Magistrate Judge.

The District Judge assigned to this case, Judge Shira A. Scheindlin, has referred this case to me for general pretrial supervision. This means that I will make decisions about the discovery phase of the litigation, but not about motions to dismiss such as motions pursuant to Rule 12(b) or Rule 56.

I enclose a copy of my Standing Order of Discovery Disputes. The Standing Order sets out my rules for disputes about discovery, including disputes.

As soon as the plaintiffs know which attorney will be appearing for the defendants, the plaintiffs' counsel and defense counsel must call my courtroom deputy, Helen Lewis, at (212) 805-6183 to schedule a case management conference.

United States Magistrate Judge 500 Pearl Street, Room 1360 New York, New York 10007

Telephone: (212) 805-6175

Fax: (212) 805-6181

Dated:

New York, New York

July 1, 2005

Copies of this Memorandum and Order( and of my Standing Order for Discovery) will be sent by electronic filing to:

Jonathan Zavin, Esq. Loeb & Loeb LLP 345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154-0037

Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE 500 PEARL STREET NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007-1312

DOUGLAS F. EATON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## Standing Order for Discovery Disputes in Cases Assigned to Magistrate Judge Douglas F. Eaton

 $\P1.$  Conference requirement. Rule 37(a)(2)(A and B), Fed. R. Civ. P., requires the attorneys to confer in good faith in an effort to resolve or narrow all discovery disputes before seeking judicial intervention. "Confer" means to meet, in person or by telephone, and make a genuine effort to resolve the dispute by determining, without regard to technical interpretation of the language of a request, (a) what the requesting party is actually seeking, (b) what the discovering party is reasonably capable of producing that is responsive to the request, and (c) what specific genuine issues, if any, cannot be resolved without judicial intervention. exchange of letters between counsel stating positions "for the record" shall not be deemed compliance with this requirement, or with Rule 37(a)(2)(A and B). Failure to hold a good faith conference is ground for the award of attorney's fees and other sanctions. 28 U.S.C. §1927; Apex Oil Co. v. Belcher Co., 855 F.2d 1009, 1019-20 (2d Cir. 1988).

#### ¶2. <u>Depositions</u>.

- a. No one may instruct a witness not to answer, except upon grounds of privilege, or as permitted by Rule 30(d)(1), Fed. R. Civ. P. All other objections, <u>including objections as to relevance</u>, may be briefly stated on the record, but the question must be answered.
- b. If privilege is asserted, the person claiming privilege must answer the predicate questions necessary to establish the applicability of the privilege. See Local Civil Rule 26.2.
- c. Disputes relating to privilege or procedure at a deposition, and applications to terminate or limit a deposition pursuant to Rule 30(d), may be brought to my attention by telephone conference (if I am available) without adjourning the deposition. (NOTE: Telephone conferences are limited to disputes about a deposition taking place that very day. Any other disputes must be submitted by joint letter; see below at  $\P$  3.) My telephone number is 212-805-6175. The following procedures apply to such telephone conferences:

- (1) All attorneys present at the deposition must participate in the telephone conference by speakerphone or extension telephone.
- (2) Unless otherwise directed by me, the witness shall be out of the room during the argument of the issues raised.
- (3) The reporter must be available to read the verbatim text of any matters in dispute, and shall record and transcribe the entire telephone conference as part of the record of the deposition.
- (4) The parties' time to seek review pursuant to Rule 72(a), Fed. R. Civ. P. of any ruling made during a telephone conference shall commence to run on the date of receipt of the transcript.

#### ¶3. All other discovery disputes (including disputes about adjournments).

- Local Civil Rule 37.2 speaks of a first request for an informal conference with the court; however, in discovery disputes before me (except for disputes about a deposition taking place that very day), you should not bother to request an informal conference. Instead, proceed as follows. Following compliance with  $\P$  1, above, counsel must submit a single joint letter, signed by each counsel of record who is a party to the dispute, and giving each counsel's telephone number and fax number. The joint letter should identify each issue which requires judicial intervention, and state the position of each party on the first issue before moving on to any second issue. The joint letter should be faxed to 212-805-6181, provided that the letter is no longer than 12 pages, and provided that a manually signed original is thereafter mailed. (I prefer to be able to review the letter quickly in fax form, even if I may have to wait for exhibits to arrive by mail.) The mailing should enclose the manually signed letter, plus any exhibits. mailing should also enclose a courtesy copy of the joint letter (but not a courtesy copy of any exhibits). If you send your adversary a draft of your portion of a joint letter and he or she does not send you a responsive portion within 5 business days, you may send your portion to me (with another copy to your adversary, and an explanation of the circumstances). You must wait for the 5 days even if there are fewer than 5 business days before a discovery deadline.
- b. I wish to encourage the parties to change their positions and to offer compromises. Hence, each side may re-write or delete

portions of its draft position. To avoid unreasonable delay, the parties should confer again and make a genuine effort to produce a final version of the joint letter that each party is willing to sign as a fair statement of its final position.

- c. The joint letter must be limited to a statement of what discovery still remains in dispute, and why each party believes it should or should not be ordered. Citation of case law is desirable. It is usually unnecessary to recite the procedural history in detail. It is usually unhelpful to include subjective matters such as opinions about the motives of your adversary.
- d. If interrogatories, document requests, or responses are in dispute, the joint letter must enclose the text of the specific items in dispute (and only those items).
- e. I will normally decide such disputes on the basis of the joint letter, with <u>no oral argument</u>. If I want affidavits, briefs, or a conference, I will inform counsel.
- f. Submissions under  $\P$  3 shall be deemed to be motions pursuant to Rule 37 and may provide the basis for me to award expenses and other sanctions.
- g. My requirement for a single joint letter applies also to non-parties. If a party foresees that it may have a discovery dispute with a non-party, then it shall serve the non-party with a copy of this Standing Order.

Douglas F. EATON, U.S.M.J.

Revised as of 4/19/05